

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-23604 of 2022

Date of Decision:.17.01.2023

Inderjeet Singh

-----Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

-----Respondent

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner
Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Punjab
Ms. Anmol, Advocate
for Mr. K.S.Brar, Advocate
for the complainant

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

On 13.9.2022, the following order was passed :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case on account of matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him; the allegations of demand of dowry are absolutely vague and unsubstantiated; in any case the petitioner is ready to return all admitted articles of isticridhan/ dowry; like the allegations of dowry even the allegations with regard to the petitioner having extra marital relations are also vague and without any basis whatsoever and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr.Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant.

For arguments, adjourned to 14.12.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.52, dated 14.04.2022, registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station City-1 Abohar, District Fazilka, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. ”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kulwinder Singh submits that petitioner has joined investigation and no custodial interrogation is required.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 13.09.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

This order be placed on the original file of the case as and when traced.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

January 17, 2023
paramjit

Whether speaking or reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No