

234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42536-2018
Date of Decision: 09.01.2023

HARCHARAN SINGH AND ORS ... PETITIONERS
VS.
BIKRAM SINGH .. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : None for the petitioners.
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking to quash the complaint titled as 'Bikram Singh vs. Harcharan Singh and others' under Sections 452, 379, 506 and 34 IPC pending in the Court of learned JMIC, Jalandhar.

Although, State is not a party in the instant case and it is a private complaint, but learned State counsel has joined the proceedings to assist the Court.

On the instructions from HC Gurdeep Singh, learned State counsel submits that Bikram Singh, complainant, has since died. Jaspal Singh and Harsimram Singh have been substituted as complainant(s). The dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. The charge was framed under Sections 448 and 506 IPC and in view of the compromise, the matter has been compounded and the petitioners have been acquitted in terms of the order dated 21.10.2021 passed by the Court of learned JMIC, Jalandhar. Consequently, the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the categoric assertion made by learned State counsel, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners. They shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of three months, if the aforesaid assertion of learned State counsel is found to be factually incorrect.

09.01.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No