

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(213)

**IOIN-CR-468-2002 in/and
CR-468-2002**

Date of Decision : 14.02.2023

Birender Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Mrs. Birinder Kaur Oberoi

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

On 12.12.2017, the following order was passed :-

“Counsel for the petitioner would state that as the petitioner had taken away the brief, there is no information available with the office of Shri S.D. Sharma, Senior Advocate with regard to case in question.

Registry is directed to contact Birender Kumar-petitioner to know particulars of the case.

List on 15.03.2018.”

Thereafter, the petitioner has failed to associate himself for the re-construction of the file or to enable the registry to serve the respondents.

Rather, no one is appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the above, it can be safely presumed that petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

IOIN as well as civil revision petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

February 14, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No