

**2023:PHHC:066292**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**215**

**CRWP-4250-2023**

**Date of Decision:09.05.2023**

Jagmeet Kour

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT**

Present : Mr. Ranjodh Singh Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, DAG, Haryana.

**N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition with a prayer for issuance of appropriate directions to the respondent No. 2 to protect her life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is having love affair with Mandeep Singh, who is confined in Central Jail, Goindwal Sahib in case FIR No. 172 dated 22.12.2016 under Sections 302, 307, 341, 427, 323, 324, 325, 326, 148 and 149 of IPC, Police Station Raman Mandi, District Bathinda. The petitioner and Mandeep Singh had planned to get married with each other, however, the family members of the petitioner started extending threats to the petitioner as well as to the family members of Mandeep Singh. Even, the petitioner had already submitted a representation dated 26.04.2023 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No. 2, but no action was taken on the same.

2. Upon notice, short reply by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kalanwali, District Sirsa has been filed on behalf

of respondent No.2. The learned State counsel submits that appropriate directions were issued to the concerned police station to take necessary action in the matter. The police officials from Police Station Kalanwali visited the house of Mandeep Singh and recorded the statements of his parents. Even, the respondents No. 5 to 7 were summoned in the police station and their statements were also recorded separately. The private respondents have stated that they have not extended any threat to the present petitioner. Even, the petitioner was not found to be residing at the house of the family members of Mandeep Singh whereas the respondents No. 5 to 7 have categorically stated that they had never extended any threat to the petitioner at any point of time and moreover she is major and can live her life as per her own sweet will and they have no objection to the same.

3. In view of the above statements made by respondents No. 5 to 7, no further directions are required and the petition is, accordingly, disposed off.

**09.05.2023**  
amit rana

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |