

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-25918-2021**

**Date of Decision:-10.01.2023**

**Paramjit Kaur.**

.....Petitioner.

Versus

**State of Punjab & Ors.**

.....Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present:- Mr. K.B. Raheja, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)**

The prayer in the petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of directions to respondent nos.1 to 3 for taking action against the private respondent nos.4 to 8 for causing the murder of the husband of the petitioner.

The case of the petitioner is that the private respondents had caused injuries to Najar Singh, husband of the petitioner on 03.05.2021 with deadly weapons. Despite the fact that serious injuries had been caused, a DDR alone was recorded bearing no.31 dated 05.05.2021 under Sections 324, 323, 34 IPC. In fact injuries received by Najar Singh were grievous and dangerous to life because of which he was referred to Guru Gobind Singh Medical Hospital, Faridkot on 04.05.2021 where he passed away. Subsequent thereto vide DDR No.27 dated 30.05.2021 Section 326 IPC was added against the private respondents but the offence under Section 302 IPC

was not added.

Upon notice, a reply has been submitted by Mr. Rajwinder Singh Randhawa, DSP Sub Division Zira, District Ferozepur on behalf of respondent no.2. As per the said reply it is a case of version and cross version and injuries had also been caused to the accused/respondents. It has been submitted in the reply that Najar Singh was found Covid Positive on 13.05.2021, was referred to Guru Gobind Singh Medical Hospital, Faridkot where he got treatment but he died on the way. With respect to the photographs produced on the record by the petitioner wherein Najar Singh was shown to be chained with the bed, it is the case of the state that an attempt has been made to create evidence against the police more so when no police official is shown in the photographs.

Having examined the claims and counter claims raised by the petitioner and the State it would be appropriate if the investigation in the present case is ordered to be conducted by respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Ferozepur himself.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the SSP, Ferozepur to personally look into the matter and proceed in accordance with law.

It is made clear that the court has not expressed any opinion on the actual merits of the case.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )  
JUDGE

January 10, 2022  
Vinay

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |