

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**378-A**

**CRR-738-2021**

**Date of Decision: January 18, 2023**

**Vibha Seddey and others**

**.....Petitioner(s)**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana**

**.....Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr.P.L. Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish, Advocate for the complainant

----

**ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner has come up before this Court seeking quashing of order dated 18.01.2021 whereby charges were framed against the petitioner.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had earlier filed petition for quashing of FIR in the present case whereby after issuing notice, the complainant did not turn up. After that charges were framed against the petitioner and the present petition was filed by the petitioners.

3. No other substantive arguments addressed by counsel for the petitioners. After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be contended and satisfied in case the complainant may be directed to appear before the trial Court to get his statement recorded.

4. Given above, trial Court is directed to give one date to complainant to make his statement, in case, the complainant fails to appear on that date, the trial Court shall take all necessary procedure to ensure his presence even by issuing non-bailable warrants. It is further clarified that recording of the statement of the complainant be completed on or before 15.03.2023.

\*\*\*\*\*

5. Counsel for the complainant is requested to intimate the complainant the consequence of non-appearance.
6. The petition is allowed to the extent as mentioned.
7. All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

January 18, 2023  
sonia arora

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | No     |