

**2023:PHHC:091686**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M-21798-2023 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 20.07.2023

Surjit Kumar

....Petitioner

**Versus**

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Ms. K.K. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuing directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to get a fair investigation conducted by some higher officials in case FIR No.74 dated 31.07.2022, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 406, 409 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Joga, District Mansa.

2. Short reply by way of affidavit of Sanjeev Goyal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Mansa, District Mansa has been filed by learned State counsel. The same is taken on record. Reference is made to para 7 thereof, which reads thus:

“That later on Petitioner has filed a criminal complaint under section 156(3) Cr.P.C. before the Ld. Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class Mansa, on the basis of which in compliance of order dated 18.07.2022, passed by Ld. Trial Court, present case FIR No.74, Dated 31.07.2022, Under Section 420/467/468/471/406/409/120-B IPC, was registered against above named Ruchika Sharma (Daughter-In-Law of the petitioner), at Police Station Joga, District Mansa. However, during the course of investigation proceedings in the light of enquiry proceedings of the enquiry officer, it was ascertained that no fraud was committed with the Government, by the above named Ruchika Sharma in-conivance with the Principal. Allegations leveled in the FIR lacked truth, as such, on the recommendations of the investigation officer

i.e. Respondent No.3 Station House Officer, Police Station Joga dated 27.01.2023 and vide order dated 20.04.2023, passed by The Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, cancellation report was prepared in the present case FIR and same will be presented shortly before the Ld. Trial Court.”

3. In view of aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the instant petition to avail of alternate remedy, if any, available to him in accordance with law.
4. Ordered accordingly.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

July 20, 2023  
M.Kamra

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |