

206 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21912-2023

Date of Decision:15.11.2023

Vandana Sharma

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.S.Lalli, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to her in case FIR No.109 dated 21.05.2021 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 419 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Sushant Lok, District Gurugram.

2. While issuing notice of motion on 01.05.2023, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had noticed the following contentions:-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not a Director in the company namely Circus Entertainment Private Limited and that she was a Director only for a period of 04 months in the year 2014-2015. It has further been submitted that the husband of the petitioner namely Manoj Kumar is already in custody since 02.03.2023. He further submits that the petitioner had signed the documents only being a co-borrower and a co-purchaser and was not aware of the actual details. It has been submitted that there is one more case against the husband of the petitioner but no other case stands registered against the petitioner. Learned counsel submits that in fact the persons Neeraj Kumar and Gitanjali Sharma with whom the

agreement to sell had been executed were the persons who have committed the fraud and they have not yet been arrested. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has two children out of which one is a special child. Reference has been made to the certificate (Annexure P-4). Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is willing to join investigation and abide by any condition imposed by this Court. ”

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner. However, the learned State counsel admits that the petitioner has already joined the investigation in the present case.

4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 01.05.2023, passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

15.11.2023
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No