

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

267

1. CM-20396-CWP-2022
in/and CWP-11361-2022
Date of decision: 20.01.2023

MANMOHAN SINGH AND ORS. ..Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR. ..Respondents

2. CM-20379-CWP-2022
in/and CWP-14876-2022

MANDEEP SINGH AND ORS. ..Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

CM-20396-CWP-2022 in CWP-11361-2022
CM-20379-CWP-2022 in CWP-14876-2022

With the consent of the learned counsel representing the parties,
the hearing of the writ petition is preponed.

Taken on board for final disposal.

Main case

The learned counsel representing the parties are *ad idem* that
both these writ petitions can conveniently be disposed of in terms of order
passed in **CWP-30239-2022, titled as “Sukhpal Singh and another Vs.**
State of Punjab and others”, decided on 05.01.2023, which reads as under:-

*“The petitioners are challenging the additional charge
assigned to them by the competent authority. They allege
that while having been posted against a sanctioned post,*

they are being required to perform additional duties at different locations.

Sh. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, submits that efforts are being made to ensure that the petitioners shall not be forced to discharge their public duties at two different places, at the same time.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.”

In view of the consensus arrived at between the parties, the writ petitions are disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 20th, 2023

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No