

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Writ Petition No. 9226 of 2023

Date of Decision: 11.05.2023

Lakhnesh Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The learned State counsel objects to the maintainability of the present writ petition as the petitioner has statutory alternative remedy under Rule 15 of the Punjab Civil Services (Punishment and Appeals) Rules, 1970.
2. Upon realizing the aforesaid fact, the learned counsel representing the petitioner prays permission to withdraw the present writ petition in order to avail the alternative remedy.
3. Ordered accordingly.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

May 11, 2023
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No