

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: 12.12.2023.

(I) CR-2791-2023

JAHID AND OTHERS PETITIONERS
VS
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS RESPONDENTS

(II) CR-2810-2023

AMAN DEEN AND ORS PETITIONERS
VS
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (ORAL)

* * * *

Learned State Counsel seeks some time to get instructions as per order dated 05.05.2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that injunction was sought that respondents be restrained from constructing road on the land of the petitioner but by now the road has been constructed. He further submits that the petitioner(s) has already moved an application before the learned trial Court for demarcation of the property. He fairly submits that if the land of the petitioner(s) is encroached by the defendants/respondents then he is ready to give land to the defendants/ respondents at the appropriate market rate and State cannot grab the property of anyone. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s)

submits that he would be satisfied if the trial Court decide the application for demarcation in a time bound manner.

At this stage, he wishes to withdraw the present petition.

In view of the above statements, both the petitions are withdrawn. The learned trial Court is directed to decide the application moved by the petitioner for demarcation of the property filed by the petitioner(s) pending before the trial Court within a month.

Petition(s) stands disposed of.

12.12.2023
renu

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No