

**CM-4627-C-2021,
CM-4629-C-2021, and
CM-4630-C-2021 in/and
RSA-670-2001**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(254) CM-4627-C-2021,
CM-4629-C-2021, and
CM-4630-C-2021 in/and
RSA-670-2001
Date of Decision : January 31, 2023**

Ganpat Singh .. Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate, for the applicant-appellant.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-4627-C-2021

Present application has been filed for listing the case for an early actual date of hearing.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection for the grant of prayer as raised in the present application.

**CM-4627-C-2021,
CM-4629-C-2021, and
CM-4630-C-2021 in/and
RSA-670-2001**

2

Keeping in view the facts mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the main appeal is taken up for hearing today.

CM-4629-C-2021

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

List of dates and events is taken on record.

CM-4630-C-2021

Present application has been filed to place on record the additional evidence, which is necessary for the adjudication of the present Regular Second Appeal.

Notice of the application was issued on 15.11.2021 but no reply has been filed raising any objection qua the said plea.

In the absence of any objection raised by filing appropriate reply to the application, respondent-State has conceded the prayer as raised in the present application.

Keeping in view the facts mentioned in the application, the application is accordingly allowed. Additional evidence which has been attached in the form of Annexure A-1 to A-6 which includes the judgment of this Court on the same issue and implementation of the same by the respondent-State, are taken on record.

RSA-670-2001

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that though the lower Appellate Court decided that the appellant is not entitled for the benefit but later on keeping in view the judgment of this Court in ***CWP No.15532 of***

CM-4627-C-2021,
CM-4629-C-2021, and
CM-4630-C-2021 in/and
RSA-670-2001

3

1994 titled as Jagdeep Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 13.08.1999, the grant of the selection grade was made by the general order and the benefit has already been extended to the appellant of the pay scale w.e.f. 01.01.1986.

Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that keeping in view the said fact, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

January 31, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No