

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.23017 of 2022

Date of decision: 7th February, 2023

Gurpreet Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. KBS Mann, Advocate for
Mr. Prabhjayot S. Chahal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing cross-case registered by way of DDR No.19 (Annexure P-2) dated 11.06.2017 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Challan presented under Sections 326, 324, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC, and charges framed under Sections 323, 307, 148, 149 IPC) in case bearing FIR No.50 dated 11.06.2017 under Sections 323, 452, 427, 148, 149 IPC (Charges framed under Sections 323, 427, 452, 148, 149 IPC) registered at Police Station Nandgarh, District Bathinda along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.04.2022 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties.

Vide order dated 26.05.2022 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 28.07.2022 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at between them.

Report has since been received from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the DDR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 to 4 are the only aggrieved persons in the DDR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **‘Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others’ (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **‘Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal)**

1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid DDR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 7, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No