

CRM-M-20422-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20422-2020

Date of decision: 21.07.2023

Sandeep@ Kala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 17.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Vide impugned order dated 17.01.2020, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar, while noticing that inventory prepared by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hisar, did not disclose actual quantity/weight of the contraband, had directed the Investigating Officer of the case to approach the Illaqa Magistrate for preparing inventory afresh after disclosing the actual weight of contraband.

Undoubtedly, inventory in a case under the NDPS Act, is primary evidence. In the considered opinion of this Court, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar, has rightly noticed that without actual quantity/weight of the contraband, charges could not be framed. This Court

CRM-M-20422-2020

finds that no illegality has been committed by the trial Court while passing the impugned order.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

21.07.2023

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No