

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARHSr. No.: 105**Criminal Revision No.F-489 of 2022 (O&M)****Date of Decision:** *March 23, 2023*Gurdev Singh

..... PETITIONER(S)

*VERSUS*Bhajno Kaor and others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

...

PRESENT: - Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate for the petitioner.

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the judgment and order dated 19.04.2022 passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Mewat, vide which an application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. filed by the respondents has been allowed and the petitioner was directed to pay maintenance at the rate of ₹5,000 per month to the respondents.

2. Notice of motion was issued only to explore the possibility of amicable settlement.

3. Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1/wife by filing vakalatnama, on instructions submits that there are no chances of settlement as earlier attempts in that direction have also failed before the trial Court. The petitioner-husband is not even paying the maintenance awarded.

4. Dismissed.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

March 23, 2023

payal

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:Whether Reportable:Yes/ NoYes/ No