

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9183-2023

Date of decision : 29.04.2023

Surjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Registrar General of Societies, Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.1 to decide the appeal filed by respondent No.4 under Section 79 of the Haryana Registration and Regulations of Societies Act, 2012 bearing No.437 of 2021 against the order dated 15.06.2021 passed by respondent No.2 expeditiously in a time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that respondent No.4 had filed an appeal dated 12.07.2021 (Annexure P-4) against order dated 15.06.2021 and has referred to the notices (Annexure P-7) to show that although, 8 dates have been given in the said matter and the

same has been adjourned without the fault of the petitioner. It is contended that an application was moved for preponement which was allowed and the matter was preponed from 02.05.2023 to 28.02.2023 and even on 28.02.2023, the case had been adjourned to 02.05.2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is a stay operating against the petitioner and thus, has filed the present writ petition.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 has submitted that there are connected matters which are also to be heard along with appeal in question and there are orders of stay in the matters and has submitted that all the said cases be listed together and has further stated that in some of the cases, pleadings are not complete and thus, a time bound direction may not be issued. It is, however, submitted that respondent No.4 is also not trying to evade the proceedings of the Court and they have no objection in case, all the cases are directed to be decided expeditiously.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal No.437 of 2021 along with connected matters as expeditiously as possible.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the expression of merits of the case and the appeal would be decided independently, in accordance with law.

29.04.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**