

2023:PHHC:061246-DB
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
101

CWP-9033-2023

Date of decision: April 29, 2023

REBEL HOSPITALITY

.....PETITIONER

Versus

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Gurminder Singh, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Vikas Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Sr. Standing Counsel,
for respondents No. 1 to 7-U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. N.S.Boparai, Advocate,
and Mr. Bikram Singh Dhillon, Advocate,
for respondent No. 8.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, learned Senior Standing Counsel for the U.T. Chandigarh, on specific written instructions from Mr. Vinay Pratap Singh, Estate Officer-cum-Deputy Commissioner, U.T. Chandigarh, makes a statement that the orders, which are impugned in the present writ petition, dated 06.03.2023 and 24.03.2023 (Annexures P-21 and P-24 respectively), are appealable under Rule 18 of the Chandigarh Estate Rules, 2007, as amended up to date, read with Section 10 of the Capital of Punjab (Development & Regulation) Act, 1952.

In the light of the statement made by the learned counsel for the U.T. Chandigarh, learned senior counsel for the petitioner prays for

withdrawal of the writ petition. However, he states that the aspect of maintainability of the appeal and all the grounds, as have been taken in the present writ petition apart from whatever grounds would be available, may be kept open for adjudication before the competent authority.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

April 29, 2023

PJ

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether reportable: *Yes/No*