

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CWP-9203-2023
Date of Decision: 06.10.2023

Sandeep Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

The Chief General Manager, H.R. Department and Ors ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to appoint the petitioner as Part Time Sweeper as he has been selected after following prescribed procedure.
2. Short reply filed by the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that it is a Pan India issue and matter is under active consideration. As soon as final decision is taken, it would be communicated to the petitioner.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement, however, submits that he may be granted liberty to revive the present petition if nothing is heard from respondents within 3 months.
5. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application seeking revival of the present petition, if no response is received from the respondents within 6 months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.10.2023

Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No