

**205-a IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3062-2005

Date of Decision: February 06, 2023

Moola Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE**

Present: Mr. Sumeet Goel, Senior Advocate with
 Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl.AG, Haryana.

LISA GILL, J.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner is unable to deny that petitioner has repeatedly averred in the writ petition that controversy involved in this writ petition is identical to the controversy involved in Writ Petitions (C) Nos. 290-294 of 2004 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Learned senior counsel is further unable to deny that the said matter stands dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court as reported in **Jagjit Singh versus State of Haryana and others (2006) 11 SCC 1**.

The controversy involved in the present writ petition is clearly covered against the petitioner in terms of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Jagjit Singh** (supra). Learned senior counsel for the petitioner is unable to point out any distinction which calls for interference.

Writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

**(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE**

February 06, 2023

rts