

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.: 269

Civil Writ Petition No.8976 of 2023
Date of Decision: July 20, 2023

Sneh Lata

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Mehta, DAG, Haryana.

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to consider the petitioner eligible for adjustment as displaced Extension Lecturer and allow her to join on the post of Extension Lecturer/Assistant Professor in the subject of Physics as workload for the post is there in many Government Colleges.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a displaced Extension Lecturer, and is eligible for appointment. His request for adjustment in some other College in terms of Clause 20 of the policy dated 04.03.2020 (Annexure P-11) was not considered on account of the condition that displaced Extension Lecturers seeking adjustment should have earned Ph.D. or qualified National Eligibility Test (NET) on or before 04.03.2020. The said condition has been stayed by a Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.11653 of 2023, vide order dated 26.05.2023.

3. In these circumstances, learned counsel prays that he may be

permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach respondent No.2 seeking appointment as displaced Extension Lecturer in terms of the policy. His representation, Annexure P-18, is already pending and that can be decided by keeping in view the stay granted by this Court vide order dated 26.05.2023.

4. Learned State counsel does not dispute the fact that the said condition has been stayed by this Court and as on date, there is no requirement for the displaced Extension Lecturers to possess either Ph.D. and NET on or before 04.03.2020, And the pending representation will be considered and decided keeping in view the stay granted.

5. In view thereof, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the petitioner’s pending representation, dated 03.08.2022 (Annexure P-18), by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

July 20, 2023
payal

<u>Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:</u>	<u>Yes/ No</u>
<u>Whether Reportable:</u>	<u>Yes/ No</u>