

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230

CRM-M-40016-2017

Date of Decision.:09.05.2023

Maqsood

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 to 7.

Mr. Digvijay, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for
respondent Nos.9 to 20, 22 to 30,
32 to 35, 37 to 48, 50 to 56.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Power of attorney on behalf of respondent Nos.9 to 20, 22 to 30, 32 to 35, 37 to 48, 50 to 56 has been filed by Mr. Digvijay, Advocate for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, which is taken on record.

It is stated by learned State counsel that this petition has become infructuous inasmuch as, out of total 66 witnesses cited by the prosecution, 35 have already been examined and case is now fixed before the Trial Court for 16.05.2023.

This petition was filed way back in the year 2017 for transfer of investigation of case FIR No.130 dated 08.05.2016 registered at Police Station Tauru, District Mewat to CBI or some independent agency.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Having regard to the fact that challan has already been filed; charges have been framed and large number of witnesses have already been examined, this petition apparently has become infructuous.

Dismissed for want of prosecution and also for the reason that it has become infructuous.

May 09, 2023
Neetika Tuteja

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No