

246 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20272-2022
DECIDED ON: 24th JULY, 2023

SHIV NARAYAN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'B LE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate with
Mr. Vaibhav Vats, Advocate
for the respondents.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been invoked for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting-aside the impugned order dated 15.09.2021 (Annexure P-3), vide which the claim of the petitioner for pay-anomally with his junior who is getting higher salary has been rejected.

2. A perusal of writ petition makes it abundantly clear that the grievance raised by the petitioner qua the pay-anomaly created on account of the fact that he was being paid less salary as compare to his junior counterpart. Today, Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate for the respondents has placed on record a copy of order dated 17.08.2020, which is taken on record as document 'A' stating that an amount of Rs.11,02,068/- has been sanctioned in favour of the petitioner on account DCRG and gratuity. He

has also referred to the office order No.645, dated 01.12.2022 whereby, the step-up case of the petitioner with his junior i.e. respondent No.6 has been allowed by respondent No.4 and now the revised increased pension is being disbursed in his bank account on monthly basis.

3. In the light of above, the grievance raised by the petitioner stands redressed and probably, this is the reason that no body is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, since 14.12.2022 and today, again no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

4. In view of above, the present petition is disposed off, as having been rendered infructuous.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

24.07.2023
Sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>