

117.

2023:PHHC:065451

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8971-2023

Date of Decision: 08.05.2023

GIRDHARI LAL

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

1. The petitioner herein seeks a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to fix his pay in the corresponding technical revised scale of Rs.4000-6000 w.e.f. 01.01.1996 and further corresponding revised scale in the PB-1(5200-20200)+GP 2400 w.e.f. 01.01.2006 with all consequential benefits.

2. At the very outset, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case the legal notice dated 16.12.2022 (Annexure P-11) ventilating his grievance, whereupon no decision has been taken as yet, is decided in a time bound manner, in accordance with law.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At this stage, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Let adequate number of copies of the writ petition be furnished to the counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents during the course of the day.

6. Without commenting on merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide legal notice dated 16.12.2022 (Annexure P-11) of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

08.05.2023

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No