

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

144

2023:PHHC:107359

**RSA-2843-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.08.2023**

GURMAIL SINGH

..Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The correctness of the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the Courts below is challenged by the plaintiff in this second appeal. While filing the suit, the plaintiff sought decree of declaration that he is entitled to the salary, allowances and consequential benefits. It has come on record that after availing 2 days of sanctioned leave, the plaintiff did not attend the office. He also did not hand over the charge. He came to the office after a period of 17 years i.e. on 20.06.2000. In fact, he was not available in the country. Thus, both the Courts have dismissed the plaintiff's suit.

2. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

3. Dismissed.

4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 18th, 2023

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*