

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT HANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.2792 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.05.2023**

Urmila Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Ms. Mannu Chaudhary & Mr. Gursher Singh Bhandal, Advocates
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution for setting aside the impugned order dated 03.12.2019 (P-5), whereby the Reference Petition, filed under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (*for short 'Act'*), was dismissed.

(2) Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that her land, situated in Village Pawala Khusropur, Tehsil & District Gurgaon, was acquired under Section 4 of the Act, vide Notification dated 25.01.2008, and Award No.54 had been passed by the Land Acquisition Collector, Gurgaon (*for short 'LAC'*) on 05.11.2009 (P-1).

Also submits that while filing a Reference Petition under Section 18 of the Act, inadvertently, "Village Choma" was mentioned instead of "Pawala Khusropur".

Further submits that *albeit* Notification under Section 4 of the Act qua both the Villages was issued on the same date i.e. 25.01.2008, but two different Awards were passed i.e. Award Nos.66 dated 23.12.2009 & 54 dated 05.11.2009 qua Villages Choma and Pawala Khusropur, respectively.

Thus, due to above typographical error, the impugned Award has been passed by the Reference Court, thereby rejecting the claim of petitioners.

(3) In view of the above factual position, this petition is disposed off with liberty to the petitioners to move an application before the Reference Court for making necessary correction(s), if so advised.

(4) Upon doing so, the appropriate order be passed by the concerned quarter in accordance with law, expeditiously.

(5) Ordered accordingly.

May 5th, 2023
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No