

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 238)

CRM-M No. 39239 of 2014

Date of decision : 23.01.2023

Angrej Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Nirmal Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. H. S. Thiara, Advocate for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. the petitioners pray for quashing of the complaint filed against them by the respondent under Sections 406, 420, 506 IPC and the order dated 21.03.2012 whereby they have been summoned to face trial under Section 420 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after the trial petitioner No.2 has been acquitted rendering the present petition qua him to be infructuous.

So far as petitioner No.1 is concerned, learned counsel submits that petitioner No.1 has been declared a proclaimed offender and therefore he seeks to withdraw the present petition qua him to challenge the order declaring him to be a proclaimed offender.

In the light of the above, the present petition qua petitioner No.1 is permitted to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for and qua petitioner No.2 is dismissed as infructuous.

23.01.2023

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No