

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

CWP-10331-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : January 31, 2023

SURJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rishab Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate
for the respondent No.4.

Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate
for the applicants (in CM-11260-CWP-2020).

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

1. The learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the instant petition.
2. Leave granted.
3. Consequently, the extant petition is dismissed as withdrawn, but, with liberty, as prayed for by the learned counsel for the petitioners, to challenge the impugned orders, as made by the learned Collector concerned, through casting thereagainst an appeal before the competent appellate authority concerned. The above appeal be ensured to be instituted within a fortnight from today, and, thereupto the warrants of possession may not be enforced against the writ land(s), but, on the filing of the statutory appeal, the petitioners may file an application for staying the operation of the warrants of possession, and, on such application, the

competent statutory authority shall, after hearing all concerned, hence make a lawful order thereon. Moreover, the statutory appeal concerned shall be expeditiously decided by the competent appellate authority concerned, preferably within a period of 6 months of its preferment.

4. Disposed of in above terms. All the pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed off accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 31, 2023
devinder

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No