

CRM-M-20985-2023

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20985-2023 (O & M)
Date of decision:25.07.2023

Rajesh Kumar Petitioner
V/s
State of Haryana and anr. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Arshdeep, Advocate,
for Mr. Vikrant Pujara, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.360 dated 11.07.2020 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra against the petitioner and all the consequent/subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise dated 15.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 28.04.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with

CRM-M-20985-2023

::2::

regard to the compromise (Annexure P-2) and the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 28.04.2023 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Shahabad and as per the report dated 03.06.2023 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court and the entire payment stands made.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in **“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”**.

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioners, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and another,**

CRM-M-20985-2023

::3::

Vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-23739- 2010 decided on 27.04.2011, Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-37395-2016 decided on 16.05.2017, Bhoj Raj Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-24945-2019 decided on 27.09.2019 and Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another, CRMM-20355-2022, decided on 25.07.2022 submits that partial quashing of the FIR was possible on the basis of a compromise.

Keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in the aforementioned judgments and the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Shahabad, the FIR No. 360 dated 11.07.2020 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra, alongwith all the consequent/subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner only.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 25, 2023

sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No