

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20908-2023

Date of Decision: 01.06.2023

CHANDER MOHAN MEHRA AND ORS.

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Harish Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Thakur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of FIR No. 76 dated 05.12.2014 under Sections 448, 511, 452, 407, 323, 380, 506, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 27, 54, 59-A of the Arms Act, (Section 27, 54, 59-A of the Arms Act, dropped during framing of charges) registered at Police Station Dareshi, District Ludhiana, (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 20.03.2023 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2]. The parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 20.03.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, vide report dated 30.05.2023, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondents Nos. 2 to 4 is represented by the counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 76 dated 05.12.2014 under Sections 448, 511, 452, 407, 323, 380, 506, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 27, 54, 59-A of the Arms Act, (Section 27, 54, 59-A of the Arms Act, dropped during framing of charges) registered at Police Station Dareshi, District Ludhiana, (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise *qua* the present petitioners subject to cost of Rs.20,000/- to be paid by the petitioner within a period of one month from today. The cost shall be deposited in the following account: -

**Account Name-Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar
Association Lawyer's Family Welfare Fund
Account No. 41564846387
Bank Name-SBI High Court Branch.**

**(SANJIV BERRY)
(JUDGE)**

01.06.2023

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No