

101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20845-2023

Date of Decision : 02.06.2023

Nita alias Naitu and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he does not press the instant petition but prays for permission to withdraw the same qua petitioner No. 2 in view of his having been arrested as well as for grant of liberty to petitioner No.1 to surrender before the learned trial Court in terms of order dated 14.10.2021 and for directions being issued to the learned trial Court to consider and decide the application for regular bail of petitioner No.2 expeditiously.

2. The prayer is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Punjab.

3. In the light of statement of learned counsel and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the instant petition is dismissed as not pressed qua petitioner No.1 and as withdrawn qua petitioner No.2, with the liberty as prayed for. In the eventuality of petitioners No.2 moving a petition for regular bail before the learned trial Court, the learned trial Court is requested to consider and decide the same in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within three days.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.06.2023

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No