

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRM-M-20778-2023

Decided on : April 28, 2023

SUNITA

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate with
Ms. Alka Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondent no.2 to allow the petitioner to meet with her daughter, in view of the protection given by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 21.03.2023 in case CRWP-2761-2023 titled as '*Tannu and another versus state of Haryana and others*' (Annexure P-1) and decide the written representation dated 13.04.2023, which has been alleged to be sent through Speed Post dated 13.04.2023 (Annexure P- 2).

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to pursue the representation dated 13.04.2023 (Annexure P- 2) alleged to have been addressed to the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

The court is sanguine that if any such representation, as indicated above, is indeed pending for consideration, the same shall be considered and decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

April 28, 2023

mkkoundal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No