

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

235

CWP-11170-2021
Date of decision: 31.08.2023

MADHU SENGER

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Himmat Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Nitika Goel, Advocate
for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for seeking quashing of the
impugned bills dated 20.01.2021, 19.03.2021 and 22.05.2021
(Annexures P-5, P-7 and P-10 respectively).

It is undisputed that alternative remedies have been
provided under the statute under Section 42 (5) and 42 (7) of the
Electricity Act, 2003. All billings dispute can be validly raised before
the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum and thereafter before the
Electricity Ombudsman.

Faced with above, learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the petitioner does not press the instant petition at this stage and prays
for liberty to take recourse to the alternative remedies available to him.

Counsel for the respondent has no objection to the same.

Disposed of as not pressed at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the alternative remedies available to him in accordance with law. Needless to mention that in the event of the petitioner taking recourse to the alternative remedies, the period during which the present petition remained pending shall be taken into consideration for computing limitation. It would also be deemed feasible that the forums take an expeditious decision on the petition which is so filed.

The petition is disposed of as not pressed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

AUGUST 31, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No