

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

236

CWP-10669-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : January 31, 2023

KHEM SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Haneet Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent No.3-GMADA.

Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate
for the respondent No.4- Gram Panchayat.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

1. Cost stands deposited, in compliance to the order made by this Court, on 18.07.2022.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the instant petition.
3. Leave granted.
4. Consequently, the extant petition is dismissed as withdrawn, however, with a direction to the learned Collector concerned, who is seized with the petition, constituted under Section 11 of the Punjab Village Common Land (Regulations) Act, 1961, to make an expeditious decision thereon, preferably within a period of three months from today. Moreover, till a decision is made on the petition (supra), by the competent authority concerned, thereupto qua the amount(s), if any, which are deposited with the

Gram Panchayat concerned, the Block Development and Panchayat Officer concerned, is directed to forthwith draw FDR(s), in respect thereof, in the name of the Secretary of the Gram Panchayat concerned. The FDR(s), so drawn, shall not be encashed, unless permitted to be done, under valid orders becoming recorded by courts of law. However, grants, if any, received by the Gram Panchayat concerned, from the government, may be utilized for the welfare activities of the Panchayat.

5. Disposed of in above terms. All the pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed off accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 31, 2023
devinder

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No