

2023:PHHC:102529

**234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39394-2016

Date of Decision: 08.08.2023

RAJBALA

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Ram Kumar Singla, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. M.S.Tewatia, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 to 7.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Same was the position on the previous date of hearing when the case was taken up for hearing.
2. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to provide protection to her life and liberty as she is apprehending danger at the instance of respondents No. 4 to 7 and also to take appropriate action against respondents No. 4 to 7.
3. At the instance of the petitioner, an FIR No.168 dated 20.09.2016 under Sections 323/324/34/354(A)(1) 452 and 506 IPC has been registered at Police Station Women Police, Palwal (Annexure P-1). The grievance of the petitioner is to the effect that an appropriate action in the matter has not been initiated and she is apprehending danger to her life and liberty at the instance of respondents No. 4 to 7.
4. Status report by way of affidavit of Jasleen Kaur, Additional Superintendent of Police, District Palwal on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 has been placed on record.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagwati, submits that the investigation of the case is complete, challan has been presented, charge has been framed and out of 07, 06 witnesses on behalf of prosecution have already been recorded. Furthermore, ASI Jagwati had visited the matrimonial house on 22.04.2023 and the petitioner was found available in the matrimonial house. The petitioner had orally told the police officials that she is staying in the matrimonial house for the last one month. Consequently, it is submitted that there is no apprehension of danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the instance of respondents No. 4 to 7, who are the family members of her in-laws.
6. In these set of circumstances, nothing survives in the petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

08.08.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No