

2023:PHHC:103930-DB

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CWP-8930-2023

Date of Decision : August 10, 2023

DAULAT RAM AND OTHERS

-Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

-Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

1. The learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, submits, that the department concerned is ready and willing to withdraw the order, as impugned in the instant petition, and, which is carried in Annexure P-2.
2. Though Annexure P-2 was made in pursuance to directions passed by this Court, but yet it appears, that the order, as carried in the Annexure (supra), is drawn cryptically and without bearing in mind the directions, as made upon the respondent(s) concerned in the relevant motion.
3. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent(s) concerned to pass a well reasoned speaking decision, upon the apposite representation(s), but bearing in mind the directions, as made by this Court, in the apposite motion. Moreover, in the process of making a decision upon the said representation(s), the authority/department concerned shall elicit the participation therein of the aggrieved concerned. Furthermore, it is also directed that the authority/department concerned, within one month from today, shall decide the said representation(s). Till the decision is made on the said representation(s), the parties are directed to maintain status quo, as of today.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

**August 10, 2023
devinder**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No