

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-8928-2023

Date of decision:28.04.2023

MANI RAM SHARMA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Aman Redhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner herein instituted a petition under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961 before the learned Collector concerned. The petition (supra) became assigned case No.104 V.C.L., and, thereon an eviction order was passed on 11.09.2014. Subsequently, the petitioner filed an application, as carried in Annexure P-2, for executing the eviction order (supra), as became passed by the learned Assistant Collector concerned. The application (supra) for execution, remains unenforced yet at the instance of the learned Assistant Collector, therefore, the petitioner is driven to access this Court for a mandamus being made upon the learned Assistant Collector concerned, that a lawful order be passed on the execution application, as carried in Annexure P-2.

2. If, as a matter of fact, the eviction order, as carried in Annexure P-1, has acquired a binding, and, a conclusive effect, inasmuch as, its remaining unappealed, before the learned competent appellate authority concerned,

thereupon alone Annexure P-1 is required to be forthwith ensured to be executed by the learned Assistant Collector concerned. However, if Annexure P-1 has not acquired a binding, and, a conclusive effect, inasmuch as, the aggrieved therefrom rearing an appeal thereagainst, before the competent appellate authority concerned, thereupon the learned Assistant Collector concerned may not draw any lawful order upon Annexure P-2, but may await a final, and, a conclusive decision being made thereon, by the competent appellate authority concerned.

3. With the above made observations, the instant writ petition is closed and disposed of accordingly

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

28.04.2023

Ithlesh

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No