

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(109)

CWP-11112-2022

Date of decision: - 18.01.2023

Chanchal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the orders dated 27.04.2012, passed by the Assistant Collector 1st Grade, Shri Hargobindpur (Annexure P-3); order dated 12.07.2013 passed by the Collector, Batala (Annexure P-5); order dated 24.05.2016 passed by the Commissioner (Appeals), Jalandhar (Annexure P-7); and order dated 30.11.2021 passed by the Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab at Chandigarh (Annexure P-9).

On 23.05.2022, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“The petitioner objected to the Naksha Zeem on the ground that it violated the mode of partition inasmuch as possession was disturbed. Appeal and revision have failed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that possession of

the parties has been disturbed and the same is violative of the mode of partition.

The aforementioned argument can only be appreciated if a copy of the Naksha Zeem along with the site plan before and after amendment is placed on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for time to file the same.

Adjourned to 12.07.2022.

May 23, 2022

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE"**

On 12.07.2022, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and a written request was circulated and the matter was adjourned to 10.11.2022. On 10.11.2022, a request for adjournment had been made and the order dated 23.05.2022 was not complied with.

Today, since no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner, thus, the present writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, the liberty is granted to the petitioner to either file an application in the present case for restoration of the present writ petition after complying with the above-said order or file a fresh petition, in case any cause of action survives.

January 18, 2023
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No