

2023:PHHC:164174

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M-20915-2023
Date of Decision.:20.12.2023

Nirmal Singh

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer is made to quash FIR No.44 dated 27.01.2010 registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar under Sections 420, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is only the attesting witness of a sale deed, which was executed in favour of Abdul Khan. Learned counsel contends further that the present FIR itself has already been quashed *qua* the purchaser Abdul Khan vide order dated 10.02.2023 passed in CRM-M-33357-2013 (O&M), copy of which is Annexure P-3.

3. Short reply by way of an affidavit of Shri Varinder Singh Khosa PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (North), Amritsar City has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1- State wherein the above said contention raised by

counsel for the petitioner has not been refuted.

4. In view of the aforesaid admitted position and for all the reasons as has been recorded by this Court in order dated 10.02.2023 passed in CRM-M-33357-2013 (O&M) (Annexure P-3), the present petition is hereby accepted. FIR No.44 dated 27.01.2010 registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar under Sections 420, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioner.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

December 20, 2023
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No