

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20601-2023
Date of decision: 12.12.2023

Surender Seth and othersPetitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Amit Jhanji, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Shashank Shekhar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nitin K. Vasudeva, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 02.05.2023 effected between the parties (Annexure P-15). Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0156	30.04.2022	403, 406, 409 , 420 IPC	P.S. Sadar Ballabgarh, District Faridabad

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 02.05.2023 (Annexure P-15), which is duly signed by them. The

CRM-M-20601-2023

-2-

matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

[3]. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, vide report dated 05.12.2023 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[4]. Learned counsel has also deposited the receipt dated 28.11.2023, amounting to Rs. 30,000/-, i.e. deposited with Rs. 10,000/- with the *Poor Patients Welfare Fund of the PGIMER, Chandigarh*, Rs. 10,000/- with the *Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association Lawyer's Family Welfare Fund, Account No. 41564846387, Bank Name- SBI High Court Branch* and Rs. 10,000/- with the *Society for Care of Blind, Sector 26, Chandigarh, Bank Account No. 10506615304*.

[5]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

[6]. In view of the aforesaid report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondent No. 2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

CRM-M-20601-2023

-3-

[7]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 0156 dated 30.04.2022 under Sections 403, 406, 409 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station sadar Ballabgarh, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

12.12.2023
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |