

2023:PHHC:108465

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRM-M No.23302 of 2022
Date of Decision: 21.08.2023**

Vaneet Sachdeva

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Sahibjeet Singh Sandhu, Advocate appearing for
Mr. Surjit Singh Swaich, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurlal Singh Dhillon, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

None for respondent No.2.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has sought the relief of anticipatory/pre-arrest bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.85 dated 22.04.2022 as registered at Police Station Women, Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, District Ludhiana, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC.

2. It is worth-while to mention here that vide the order dated 26.05.2023, the Co-ordinate Bench had referred the matter to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, in view of the joint request made by learned counsels for the petitioner and respondent No.2 in this regard but as per the report of the Mediator at Flag 'X', the petitioner failed to appear before him and hence, the mediation proceedings could not be initiated.

3. Today, learned State counsel, on the instruction from ASI Meet Ram from the afore-referred Police Station, informs the Court that the petitioner had not joined in the investigation in compliance of the order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench on 26.05.2023 and the gold jewellery items are yet to be recovered from him and to add to it, one more criminal case has been registered against him vide FIR No.103 dated 19.07.2022 at Police Station Division No.3, Ludhiana, under Sections 67 and 67-A of the Information Technology Act.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that despite repeated efforts, they have not been able to contact the petitioner.

5. Keeping in view the afore-discussed facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the relief of anticipatory/pre-arrest bail. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed accordingly.

21.08.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No