

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

256

CWP-10670-2022
Date of Decision: 14.03.2023

BAHADUR SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Gopal Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Satyam Tandon, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ajay Kripal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Sanjiv K. Sharma, Advocate for
Ms. Garima Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition raises a challenge to the notice dated 11.05.2022 (Annexure P-4) issued by respondent No.2- DHBVNL, whereby the petitioner had been directed to deposit an amount of Rs.1,09,052/-.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.2, on instructions from SDO Rajesh Kumar, Sub-Urban Division -1, Bhiwani, submits that the aforesaid demand notice has been raised under a mistake and that there is no outstanding amount payable by the petitioner or due to the respondent No.2 by the petitioner.

In view of the above statement made at Bar by the learned counsel for the respondent No.2-Distribution Licensee, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

14.03.2023.
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No