

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

246

CRR-1340-2009 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.07.2023

Sohan Lal
...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. N.K. Suneja, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 27.02.2009 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, whereby three main accused i.e. respondent Nos.10 to 12, have wrongly been acquitted.

Status report filed on behalf of the respondent-State is already on record.

Learned State counsel points out that as per the status report, respondent Nos.3 and 6 have expired and the remaining persons from both the parties, have voluntarily recorded their joint statements that they have mutually compromised the matter without any kind of fear, pressure or coercion for the benefit, welfare, career and future and they do not want to pursue the matter. In the statement, it has also been stated that they have already submitted their settlement affidavits to their counsel to place the same in this Court.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the matter has been compromised, he does not want to pursue the matter.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

18.07.2023
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No