

CRM-M-21955-2022

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

252

CRM-M-21955-2022

Date of Decision : November 07, 2023

JAGSIR SINGH

-Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. A.S. Barnala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Grewal, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Lovepreet Kaur, Advocate
for the respondent No.2/complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.

1. The instant petition, as cast under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C., proffers the hereinafter extracted relief, as craved by the petitioner, inasmuch as, quashing of the FIR No.20 dated 07.03.2017, under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Tapa Mandi, District Barnala, along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise (Annexure P-2), as entered into inter se the petitioner and the respondent No.2/complainant.

2. Upon an affirmative response from the learned counsel for the respondent No.2/complainant qua the compromise (Annexure P-2), a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had, through an order drawn on 23.05.2022, upon the instant petition, besides issuing notice, directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned, for getting their respective statements recorded qua authenticity of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

CRM-M-21955-2022

2

Moreover, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned was also directed to send a report in the above regard.

3. Consequent to the making of the directions (supra), the parties appeared before the Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Barnala and got their respective statements recorded, thereby authenticating the compromise (Annexure P-2). Accordingly, in compliance of the directions (supra) of this Court, a Report bearing No.573 dated 18.10.2022 has been received from the Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Barnala, wherein, a satisfaction has been recorded by the Civil Judge concerned qua the compromise (supra) being drawn in a genuine and voluntary manner, without any coercion or undue influence.

4. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

5. Before this Court proceeds to pen down its conclusion, thereby giving quietus to the instant motion, it would be apt to record here, as emanates from this petition, that prior to the institution of the instant petition, the petitioner had earlier approached this Court, through filing CRM-M-10975-2020, wherein also, the present relief was claimed. However, due to non-representation of the respondent No.2/complainant, the said petition became rendered infructuous, through an order drawn on 16.03.2022. Nonetheless, through the said order, liberty was also reserved to the parties to file a fresh petition, in case they still acknowledge the compromise.

6. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in **CRM-M-25669-2020 (O&M)**, titled **“Abhishek Singh & others V/s State of Punjab & others”**, **Pronounced on: 07.04.2022**, while granting a similar relief, as craved in the instant petition, has observed as under:-

“9. The following aspects would be relevant to conclude this petition:-

- a) The accused and the private respondents have amicably settled the matter between them in terms of the compromise deed and the statements recorded before the concerned Court;*
- b) A perusal of the documents reveal that the settlement has not been secured through coercion, threats, social boycotts, bribes, or other dubious means;*
- c) The victim has willingly consented to the nullification of criminal proceedings;*
- d) There is no objection from the private respondents in case present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed;*
- e) In the given facts, the occurrence does not affect public peace or tranquillity, moral turpitude or harm the social and moral fabric of the society or involve matters concerning public policy;*
- f) The rejection of compromise may also lead to ill will. The pendency of trial affects career and happiness;*
- g) There is nothing on the record to prima facie consider the accused as an unscrupulous, incorrigible, or professional offender;*
- h) The purpose of criminal jurisprudence is reformatory in nature and to work to bring peace to family, community, and society;*
- i) The exercise of the inherent power for quashing the conviction, sentence and all previous proceedings is justified to secure the ends of justice.”*

7. In the light of the hereinabove recorded aspects and considering the fact that the offences, for which the petitioner/accused has been charged, are not of grave in nature, as also in view of the law laid down in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the present petition for quashing the FIR (supra) is hereby allowed.

8. Resultantly, FIR No.20 dated 07.03.2017, under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Tapa Mandi, District Barnala,

CRM-M-21955-2022

4

along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed, on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

November 07, 2023
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No