

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(209-2)

CRM-M-21716-2022

Date of Decision : July 14, 2023

Bikramjit Singh @ Gagani

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashdeep Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the material witnesses are yet to be examined, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further at this stage but direction be given to the trial Court to examine the material witnesses in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer indefinite incarceration.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant does not object to the plea that the material witnesses be examined in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the fact that the present petition has been withdrawn qua the prayer for the grant of regular bail but the trial Court is

requested to make all endeavours to record the statements of the material witnesses within a period of four months from the next date of hearing.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

July 14, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No