

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

203

CRM-M-38712 of 2016

Date of Decision: 10.1.2023

Vikramjit Singh @ Bikramjit Singh

---Petitioner

versus

Inderpreet Kaur

---Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Sahil Soi, Advocate
for the petitioner

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, through the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is seeking setting aside of order dated 21.7.2014 (Annexure P-2) whereby trial court has awarded maintenance to the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that petitioner as well respondent have got re-married and are happily staying with their spouse. As the respondent has got re-married, she is not entitled to the maintenance from the petitioner.

In view of statement of learned counsel for the petitioner that respondent has got re-married, she is not entitled to the maintenance from the petitioner and it seems to be the reason for non-appearance of the respondent.

As the respondent has got re-married, the impugned order

is not sustainable and present petition deserves to be allowed and accordingly allowed. Impugned order dated 21.7.2014 (Annexure P-2) is hereby quashed. The respondent is at liberty to move an application, if she has any grievance to express.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

10.1.2023

paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No