

. 2023:PHHC:129473

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

240

CWP-20990-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision :-04.10.2023

Sunita Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. P.C. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the reply filed by the respondents, wherein it has been mentioned that all the benefits have been released to the petitioner and the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment cannot be considered as the husband of the petitioner, who was regular employee of the respondent-department had not completed 05 years of service hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to revive the present petition in case any benefit as claimed in the reply filed, has not been extended in favour of the petitioner by the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

October 04, 2023

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No