

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-22152-2022(O&M)

Date of Decision:-12.07.2023

Govind & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Vs.

The State of Haryana & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Manu Sachdeva, Advocate for
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for the Complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.16 dated 20.01.2019 registered under Sections 406, 419, 420, 506, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise dated 30.04.2022 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 23.05.2022/11.10.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 30.04.2022 (P-2) and the Illaqa Magistrate/ trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the orders dated 23.05.2022/11.10.2022 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate,

1st Class, Sohna and as per the report dated 02.11.2022 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

The Counsel for the petitioners states that qua the other accused, namely Sabharaj Singh @ Shivam and Rahul Kumar, the FIR in question has already been quashed by this Court vide orders dated 11.10.2022 and 13.09.2021 respectively.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (CrL) 543***”.

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioners, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 589*** and this Court in ***Joginder Singh & another Vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-23739-2010 decided on 27.04.2011***, ***Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-37395-2016 decided on 16.05.2017***, ***Bhoj Raj Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-24945-2019 decided on 27.09.2019*** and ***Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-20355-2022, decided***

on 25.07.2022 submits that partial quashing of the FIR was possible on the basis of a compromise.

Keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in the aforementioned judgments and the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Sohna the FIR No.16 dated 20.01.2019 registered under Sections 406, 419, 420, 506, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurugram along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 12, 2023
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No