

2023:PHHC:103790

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20246-2023

Date of Decision: 10.08.2023

HARPREET SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. S.S.Sarwara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. On 24.04.2023, the following order was passed by the
Coordinate Bench of this Court:-

“This is a petition for grant of pre-arrest bail in
case FIR No.70 dated 31.03.2023 under Sections 306, 34
IPC registered at Police Station, City Rajpura, District
Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case
being brother of the deceased's husband. The allegations
against him are that he sold some land belonging to the
deceased's husband three months ago, and has
accordingly cheated her. She committed suicide at her
parents' house due to being mentally disturbed on
account of the land having been sold without her
knowledge. It is contended that the allegations are too far
fetched to afford any cause to the deceased to commit
suicide. The petitioner has nothing to do with the family
affairs of the deceased and her husband, who was
statedly addicted to drugs.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Jashandeep Singh, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 10.08.2023.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Officer, and in the event of arrest he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions of Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Darshan Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.
4. Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 24.04.2023, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.
5. Petition is allowed.

10.08.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No