

101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH
CWP-10276-2023
Date of Decision: 24.08.2023

ARVIND KUMAR DUTTA

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Gobind Rai Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, DAG Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner is aggrieved on account of the application submitted by him for issuance of an armed license having not been recommended by the authorities concerned.
2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is an ex-serviceman and is looking for some job in some security agency/security services and for which he needs an armed license. It has further been submitted that although he had applied for issuance of an armed license before the authorities concerned but he has not formally been conveyed any copy of rejection order, though on the official portal/website there is an entry to the effect 'Not Recommended'.
3. This Court has considered the aforesaid submissions. Having regard to the fact that an order passed by the Collector, rejecting an application for issuance of Arms license would be appealable in terms of Section 18 of Arms Act 1959 but no such appeal has been filed by the petitioner till date, the petitioner would be well advised to seek a copy of

the detailed order of rejection from the authorities concerned and to file a statutory appeal in terms of Section 18 of Arms Act, 1959. It is hoped that the authorities concerned shall take a liberal view in the matter of condonation of delay, if any, given the fact that the respondents have not conveyed a copy of any rejection order to the petitioner, other than the only information which is available on the official portal/website is to the effect ‘Not Recommended’, without there being any detailed order.

4. Disposed off accordingly.

24.08.2023
Ajay Goswami

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No