

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CWP-8612-2023
Date of Decision: 16.11.2023

Gobind Kumar Khipal ...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Supneet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Arvind Seth, Senior Panel Counsel,
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondent No.2 to re-issue him passport.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that petitioner was issued show cause notice on 23.09.2023 on account of adverse police report. The petitioner did not respond to the said communication, thus, application of the petitioner was closed. Nevertheless the petitioner is at liberty to file a fresh application which shall be considered in accordance with law.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the afore-stated factual position.
4. In the wake of statements of learned counsels for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh application before respondent No.2.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.11.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No